Meeting Notes
Engaged Scholarship Working Group
February 7, 2013 1:30-3:00 p.m., HH 209

Attending: Laiana Wong, Manu Kaiama, Kalei Kanuha, Oceana Francis, Creighton Litton, Vanessa Ching-Kuna, Rebekah Carroll, Susan Hippensteele

1. SP/WG Status and Update
   a. All WG groups have resource questions that cannot be definitively answered at this point; administrative support for initiatives remains and any necessary funding support will be determined when UHM budget questions are clarified; WGs are moving forward with proposals.

2. Expansion of Native Hawaiian Scholarship- UG student travel
   a. Language for the UG student travel criteria (i.e., Native Hawaiian community engaged scholarship definition) could apply to all three groups
   b. Must ensure working with Hawaiian communities and not those working over
      i. Include language that gives ensures reciprocity
   c. Committee to review proposals and fund requests
      i. Q: Is it possible to make a link with any field and NH scholarship? What about Hawaiians working in any discipline?

3. General Criteria for NH scholarship funding support/ Resourcing the Initiative
   a. Emphasis on Engaged Scholarship
   b. Q: NH faculty doing other kinds of scholarship should have funds? Especially faculty and grad students?
   c. Not intended for areas where extramural funds are available
   d. Q: What is engaged scholarship?
      i. Show/articulate what is being done
      ii. Shows partnership with community
         1. These criteria need to be met as a form of attestation that shows the scholar/community partner is on board
      iii. Q: Is it NH doing the research?
         1. Hard, fast rule about that would be very restrictive
         2. Person applying would be subject to criteria
      iv. With the community is important criteria
   v. Discussion of NH component of criteria
      1. merits of application are as important as the applicant
   vi. Intent & criteria
      1. Funding individuals or projects?
      2. Looking to advance people, and/or fields?
   e. Q: What already exists? Are we enhancing or replicating what already exists?
      i. Federal scholarship monies are for the neediest and misses middle income individuals
      ii. Q: What are other funding streams?
   f. New idea: Creation of a Grant office
      i. Teaches, assists, and trains faculty and students
      ii. Office would function in several capacities
1. researching grants
2. Educating
3. Grant writing assistance
4. Provide technical support
   a. Comment: What is really helpful is handing over for help with technical and budgeting parts of grant
5. Large, cross discipline grants would have central office assistance
   g. Progress on template language
      i. Benefitting NH
      ii. Define NH Engaged scholarship
         1. Something documenting individual applicant
         2. Not just doing for self, but connected to community
      iii. Discussion of NH component
         1. How does your research disseminate to the community
      iv. Projects vs. individuals
         1. Outcomes and impacts specific to the community
      v. Draft Criteria Areas
         1. Education
         2. Outcomes
            a. must include cultural elevation and sustainability for NH community at all levels
         3. Greater Good
         4. Engagement- who you are, what you want, its bigger than you
         5. Coherence- applicant, project, mentor
         6. Part of the process- application starts the engagement

Next steps
  1. Draft Proposal
  2. Gather info on other funding opportunities (re: cost/benefit of grant support office)

Next Meeting: March 7, 2013; 1:30-3:00 in HH 209